## Office Action Summary The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address → Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available used the provisions of 37 CPT 1.13(%). In or event, however, may arety for timely fleet of the sommunication. If NO period to trey by a specified used the provisions of 37 CPT 1.13(%). In or event, however, may arety for timely fleet after 53X (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period to reply is appeared above, the mentions entable period will expise SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period to trey by a specified work the mailing date of this communication, even if timely fleet, may reduce the sommunication. Provided by the Cliffs lister than the months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely fleet, may reduce any seared patter than adjustment. Set 97 CPR 1.70(b). Status		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner RCHELL PORTER 3626 - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a regly be timely filed with the SM (MONTHS from the maining date of this communication False to reply within the set of extended period for regly will, by stakiner, cause the application is become ABANDONED (35 U.S. 0, § 133). Any regly received by the Cific test than there morting after the maining date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any canned patient term adjustment. See 37 CPR 1.73(b). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 April 2007. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 □ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 5 □ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7 □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 □ Claim(s) is/are rejected to by the Examiner. 10 □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a □ accepted or b objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9 □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a □ accepted or b objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 10 □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a □ accepted or b objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 11 □ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. Note	Office Action Summary	10/577.469	EL EMAN ET AL	
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALLING DATE of THIS COMMUNICATION. - It characters of time must be adulable under the provisions of 17 CFR 1.13(a), in no event however, may a reply to timely fled safety St. (9) MONTH's from the malling date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the macrimum statutory period will apply and vall expire St. (6) MONTH's from the malling date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the macrimum statutory period will apply and vall expire St. (6) MONTH's from the malling date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the macrimum statutory period vill apply and vall expire St. (6) MONTH's from the malling date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the macrimum statutory period vill apply and vall expire St. (6) MONTH's from the malling date of this communication, even if firmly fled, may reduce they seemed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). - If NO period for reply is specified above, the macrimum statutory and the communication, even if firmly fled, may reduce they seemed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). - If NO period for reply is specified above, the macrimum statutory and the communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the macrimum statutory and the communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the macrimum statutory and the communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the macrimum statutory and the communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the macrimum statutory and the communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the macrimum statutory and the communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the macrimum statutory and the communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the macrimum statutory and the communication. - If NO pe				
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. E-timensize of them may be available under be provided on 50 FCR 1.130(a). In oe went, however, may a raply be limely fled after SX (6) MONTHS from the railing date of this communication. Fallute to rety which the set or estanded priod for reyly will be flattle, become APANCHOE (30 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than the memoria after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any seared period for estanded priod for reyly will by statule, cause the application become APANCHOE (30 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any seared period for estanded priod for reyly will be statule, cause the application become APANCHOE (30 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than the months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any seared period for the communication is FINAL. 2 b) This action is FINAL. 2 b) This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4 Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 5 Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) 1-20 is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) 1-20 is/are objected to. 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on 1 is/are: a) accepted or b objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9 The provided provided the provided				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of their may be available under the provisions of 3/ CRR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be finely fled If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to received period for reply will by beattle, cause the application become APANDODE (30 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than these months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any carried parties it may eliginate the separation of the become APANDODE (30 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than these months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any carried parties that the maximum statutory reply received by the Office later than these months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any carried parties of the communication o	The MAILING DATE of this communication app			
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of therm by be swalible under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13(6). In no event, lower, may a reply be timely filed after 51x (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the marking after of this communication. - If NO period for reply is the Office later than these months after the mailing date of this communication. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any search pattern term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). - Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 April 2007. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. - 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) ★ 20 is/are pending in the application. - 4a) Of the above claim(s) ★ 120 is/are withdrawn from consideration. - 5) □ Claim(s) ★ 120 is/are allowed. - 6) □ Claim(s) ★ 120 is/are rejected. - 7) □ Claim(s) ★ 120 is/are rejected. - 7) □ Claim(s) ★ 120 is/are rejected to by the Examiner. - Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on ★ is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. - 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. - 1. □ C	Period for Reply			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 April 2007. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estansions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CRF 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO perfort for reply is generalized above, the manifum statutory period will apply and will explice SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S. C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, very filmer filled may require any.			
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some coll None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 April 2007.			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.			
Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is			
4)	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are nejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Disposition of Claims			
5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	_ ·- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
7) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ is/are objected to. 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheel(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ☐ . 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTC-892)	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.			
Application Papers 9)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTC-892)	Application Papers			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTC-892)	9\□ The specification is objected to by the Everniner			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTC-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTC-892)				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
12)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.			
a) All b) Some colling None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTC-892)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTC-892)	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTC-892)	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTC-892)	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTC-892)	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)				
Attachment(s) 1) ∑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ∑ Interview Summary (PTO-413)	1			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)				
		1		
2) I I Notice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948)				
3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☑ Notice of Informal Patent Application	Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal I		
Paper No(e)/Mail-Date	Paper No(e)/Mall 5ate	6) [,		

Art Unit: 3626

DETAILED ACTION

 This communication is in response to the application filed 4/23/07. Claims 1-20 are pending. See Attached Interview Summary from 5/12/10.

Claim Objections

Claim 5 is objected to because of the following informalities: the word need has been misspelled as "neeed." Appropriate correction is required.

Information Disclosure Statement

 The IDS's filed 12/28/06 and 2/21/07 have been entered and considered by the Examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 10-20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Regarding claim 10, the present claim recites "a computer program product containing software..., the software program comprising..." in the preamble. Data structures not embodied on a computer readable media are considered descriptive material. They are therefore considered non-statutory because they are not capable of causing a functional change in a computer. As drafted, the claim fails to define any

Art Unit: 3626

structural and functional interrelationships between the code and other elements of a computer that permit the computer program's function to be realized. (See MPEP § 2106.01) Given that there is support in the specification, the claim language should clarify that the software is stored on a (non-transitory) medium.

Claims 11-20 have similar deficiencies and fail to correct the deficiencies of claim 10. Therefore, claims 11-20 are also rejected as being drawn toward non-statutory subject matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, Fourth Paragraph

5. The following is a quotation of that portion of 35 U.S.C. 112 which forms the basis for rejections made under this section in this Office action:

A claim in dependent form shall contain a reference to a claim previously set forth and then specify a further limitation of the subject matter claimed.

 Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, fourth paragraph, as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim.

The test as to whether a claim is a proper dependent claim is that it shall include every limitation of the claim from which it depends (35 U.S.C. 112, fourth paragraph), or, in other words, that it shall not conceivably be infringed by anything which would not also infringe the basic claim.

When, as here, an independent claim recites a particular method, a dependent claim drawn to an apparatus capable of performing the method of the independent claim is not a proper dependent claim, since the dependent claim (the apparatus) could conceivably be infringed by mere possession of the apparatus without performing any

Art Unit: 3626

particular method steps at all, thereby infringing the dependent claim (the apparatus) without necessarily infringing the independent claim (the method), in violation of the infringement test for proper dependency of claims. See MPEP § 608.01(n)(III).

Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filled under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filled in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- Claims 1-4, 8, 10-17, and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) and 102 (e) as being anticipated by Hotchkiss et al (US 20030140043A1)
- [claim 1] Hotchkiss discloses a method comprising the steps of:
 - retrieving an XML file from a computer-readable medium, said XML file detailing data and structure of a patient form; (par. 21-24; (par. 125)
 - processing said XML file by running of an XML-responsive application; (par. 125)
 - generating said patient form defined by said XML file; and (par. 125, 150 registering candidates; par. 154-156—enrollment for studies)

Application/Control Number: 10/577,469 Art Unit: 3626

displaying said patient form on a display. (Fig. 9, 12-13)

(claims 21 Hotchkiss discloses the method according to claim 1, wherein said patient form is a screening form. (Figure 9.12-13, par. 150--gathering patient/candidate data) (claim 31 Hotchkiss discloses the method according to claim 1, wherein said patient form is a post- randomization form. (par. 166-167; Figure 17--enrolling the patients in the studies)

[claim 4] Hotchkiss discloses the method according to claim 1, wherein said patient form is a termination form, (par. 156; Fig. 13-close enrollment)

Hotchkiss the method according to claim 1, wherein said display is a [claim 8] personal digital assistant display. (Fig. 9, 12-13, par. 74)

[claim 10] Hotchkiss teaches a computer program product containing a software program for installation in a central computer system that is connected to a network, the software program comprising: code for generating code for a patient form, said patient form defined by an XML file. (par. 125, 150—registering candidates; par. 154-156 enrollment for studies)

Hotchkiss teaches the computer program product according to claim 10, [claim 11] wherein said patient form is a clinical trial screening form. (Figure 9,12-13, par. 150-gathering patient/candidate data)

Hotchkiss discloses the computer program product according to claim 10. [claim 12] wherein said patient form is a clinical trial post-randomization form. (par. 166-167; Figure 17--enrolling the patients in the studies)

Art Unit: 3626

[claim 13] Hotchkiss discloses the computer program product according to claim 10, wherein said patient form is a clinical trial termination form. (par. 156; Fig. 13-close enrollment)

[claim 14] Hotchkiss discloses the computer program product according to claim 10, wherein said software program further comprises code for network deployment of said code for a patient form. (par. 76, 125)

[claim 15] Hotchkiss discloses The computer program product according to claim 10, further comprising an XML form specification facilitating creation of said patient form. (par. 125, 150, par. 154-156; Figure 1)

[claim 16] Hotchkiss discloses the computer program product according to claim 15, wherein said XML specification includes custom scripting for form events. (par. 76, 125, Figure 1)

[claim 17] Hotchkiss discloses the computer program product according to claim 16, wherein possible responses to a form event include hiding a question within said patient form and revealing a question within said patient form. (par. 150—patient registration questions in patient form; par. 167—questionnaire for criteria)

[claim 19] Hotchkiss discloses the computer program product according to claim 14, further comprising generated code deployable for use in any of an internet information server and an interactive voice response system. (par. 74-77)

Art Unit: 3626

[claim 20] Hotchkiss discloses the computer program product according to claim 10, further comprising code for restricting read and edit permissions to control who has what permission in relation to said patient form. (par. 4, par. 6)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 5-7, 9 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hotchkiss et al (US 20030140043A1) in view of Colon et al (US 5991731A).
- [claim 5] Hotchkiss discloses the method according to claim 1, further comprising the steps of:
 - transmitting need patient information across a communications medium in order to process said patient information when both said patient information has been entered into said patient form and said patient form has been submitted; (par. 166-167)
- using said patient information to verify eligibility of a patient; and (par. 173-175)
 Hotchkiss does not expressly disclose the use of a randomization code or routine for the clinical trial. However, as disclosed by Colon randomization routines/codes are well-known for scientific studies (i.e. clinical trial)

Art Unit: 3626

Colon discloses a method wherein:

 if eligibility of said patient is confirmed, generating a randomization code for said patient and for use in a clinical trial. (col. 5. lines 15-47)

At the time of the Applicant's invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method of Hotchkiss with the teaching of Colon to include the use of a randomization code/routine. One would have been motivated to include this feature to minimize any bias introduced into the study, and to allow the randomization to done automatically, thereby maximizing confidentiality. (col. 1, lines 54-63)

[claim 6] Hotchkiss discloses the method according to claim 5, wherein said patient form is a screening form. (Figure 9,12-13, par. 150–gathering patient/candidate data)

[claim 7] Hotchkiss discloses a method of providing patient forms, as explained in the rejections of claims 5-6, but does not express disclose storing said randomization code in a database within a central computer system.

Colon discloses a method further comprising storing said randomization code in a database within a central computer system. (col. 6, lines 39-52) At the time of the Applicant's invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method of Hotchkiss with the teaching of Colon to include the use of a randomization code/routine and to store this information on a central computer. One would have been motivated to include this feature to minimize any bias introduced into

Art Unit: 3626

the study, and to allow the randomization to done automatically, thereby maximizing confidentiality. (col. 1, lines 54-63)

[claim 9] Hotchkiss discloses a network comprising a plurality of nodes, one of said nodes being a central computer system, at least another of said nodes being a wirelessly enabled device in communication with said central computer system, at least yet another of said nodes being a personal computer in communication with said central communication system, (par. 73-76). Hotchkiss discloses the method according to claim 1. further comprising the steps of:

- transmitting need patient information across a communications medium in order to process said patient information when both said patient information has been entered into said patient form and said patient form has been submitted; (par. 166-167)
- using said patient information to verify eligibility of a patient; and (par. 173-175)
 Hotchkiss does not expressly disclose the use of a randomization code or routine for the clinical trial. However, as disclosed by Colon randomization routines/codes are well-known for scientific studies (i.e. clinical trial)

Colon discloses a method wherein:

 if eligibility of said patient is confirmed, generating a randomization code for said patient and for use in a clinical trial. (col. 5, lines 15-47)

At the time of the Applicant's invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method of Hotchkiss with the teaching of Colon to include

Art Unit: 3626

the use of a randomization code/routine. One would have been motivated to include this feature to minimize any bias introduced into the study, and to allow the randomization to done automatically, thereby maximizing confidentiality. (col. 1, lines 54-63)

[claim 18] Hotchkiss discloses the program of claim 12 as explained in the rejection of claim 12, but does not expressly disclose the use of randomization codes or routines for the clinical trial. However, as disclosed by Colon randomization routines/codes are well-known for scientific studies (i.e. clinical trial). Colon discloses code for wherein generating a randomization code for said patient and for use in a clinical trial. (col. 5, lines 15-47). At the time of the applicant's invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Hotchkiss with the teaching of Colon to include a randomization code on post-randomization forms for a patient of a clinical trial. One would have been motivated to include this feature to minimize potential population bias introduced into the study. (col. 1, lines 54-63)

Conclusion

- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:
- Bleicher et al (US 6820235B1) discloses generating clinical trial forms using SGML derived languages.

Art Unit: 3626

 Brooke et al (US 6748569B1) discloses a program and method for generating XML documents.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to RACHEL L. PORTER whose telephone number is (571)272-6775. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 10-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry O'Connor can be reached on (571) 272-6787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/R. L. P./ Examiner, Art Unit 3626

/Robert Morgan/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3626

Art Unit: 3626